

1 STEVEN G. KALAR  
2 Federal Public Defender  
3 GALIA AMRAM (CABN 250551)  
4 Assistant Federal Public Defender  
5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36106  
6 San Francisco, CA 94102  
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7700  
8 Facsimile: (415) 436-7706  
9 Galia\_Amram@fd.org

10 Counsel for Defendant Adam SHAFI

11  
12  
13 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

17 No. 15-CR-582 WHO

18 Plaintiff,

19 **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO**  
**CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE**

20 v.

21 ADAM SHAFI,

22 Defendant.

23 **I. STIPULATION**

24 The parties in this case agree and jointly request the Court to move the status conference  
25 scheduled in the above captioned case from June 16, 2016 to June 23, 2016. The reason for this  
26 request is that defense counsel was recently added to the case and she is currently scheduled to  
27 be out of the district on June 16th.

28 The parties concur that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary  
29 for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. §  
30 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the end of justice served by granting such an  
31

1 exclusion of time for the purposes of effective preparation of counsel outweigh the best interest  
2 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

3

4 DATED: May 23, 2016 \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
5 S. WAQAR HASIB  
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7

8 DATED: May 23, 2016 \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
9 GALIA AMRAM  
Attorney for Defendant Adam Shafi

10

11

12 ORDER

13 For the reasons stated above the Court hereby CONTINUES the status hearing in the  
14 aforementioned case from June 16, 2016 to June 23, 2016. The Court further finds that the  
15 exclusion from the time limits of this period applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 is warranted and  
16 that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and  
17 the defendant in a speedy trial. Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the  
18 defendant effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18  
19 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

20

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22

23 DATED: May 23, 2016

24

25

26

27

28

  
THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK  
United States District Judge